

IMPD PROTEST RESPONSE REVIEW COMMITTEE (RRC)
AND REVIEW PROCESS

Review Committee: Deborah Daniels
Myra C. Selby
Dr. Sean L. Huddleston

- Charge:
1. Review evidence relating to IMPD response to protests in late May/early June 2020 and determine:
 - Whether any officers engaged in use of force inconsistent with current IMPD policy
 - Whether any officers engaged in what would be deemed action in violation of policy under model policies in use in other cities
 - Whether any officers failed to de-escalate a situation such that it escalated to the point where it required use of force permitted under current policy
 - Whether any officers continued use of permissible force beyond the point where current policy would suggest it cease
 - What aspects of the IMPD response contributed to peaceful interactions, and what aspects appear to have escalated tensions
 2. Develop a report reflecting findings with respect to the above and related recommendations, to be delivered to the Mayor's Office upon conclusion of the review

Evidence to be reviewed:

- Complaints filed (written or oral) in relation to police action during the protests
- Videos available showing activity that has been the subject of such complaints
- Statements previously provided by any parties, including police and civilians who were participants, witnesses or subjects of police action
- All information concerning arrests made and on what charge
 - All information on disposition or pending nature of arrest charges in the Marion County Prosecutor's Office
- Interviews of police officers, including those accused of inappropriate response/misconduct
- Civilian witness interviews, including those allegedly subjected to police misconduct and others who witnessed police conduct in relation to the protests
- Applicable IMPD policies, including current and proposed use of force policies
- Model policies from other communities focused on de-escalation and sanctity of all life

Other aspects of review:

- Discussion with Marion County Prosecutor's Office re police response involving two females in incident being reviewed by MCPO for potential criminal charges
 - Separate reviews for separate purposes
 - Request investigative findings of IMPD/MCPO

Team:

- Response Review Committee (RRC)—Daniels, Huddleston, Selby
- Small team of private sector attorneys (preferably litigators) to conduct interviews at direction of RRC
- Other? Law students? IUPUI criminal justice professors?

Conditions under which the review will be conducted:

- Full autonomy, independence of RRC
- No limitation by City on witnesses or other evidence to be reviewed
- Mayor's Office will facilitate access to individuals, groups and resources at request of RRC
- IMPD will transparently provide access to all evidence and all witness names and contact information
- IMPD will require police officers to comply with interview process, as in an IMPD internal investigation process¹
- RRC will generally adhere to ethical guidelines for scientific research, including the preservation of witness anonymity
- RRC will not limit its witness list to those suggested by City
- RRC will arrive at conclusions independently, based on its review of all evidence and policies (existing, proposed, model)
- No editorial control by City
- Mayor's Office agrees to publish final report in its entirety

Outcomes:

- Determination(s) regarding overall police response: what police actions appear to have escalated and de-escalated tensions/violence/civilian resistance
- Determination as to each specific incident that is the subject of a complaint whether any current policies appear to have been violated
- Recommendations as to how any of the use of force incidents might have been avoided or de-escalated
- Recommendations in relation to other associated actions by IMPD officers that may not have been necessary and may contribute to community mistrust
- Recommendations regarding potential changes in IMPD approach that might help develop a more trusting relationship with the community

¹ The final report will not reveal any information sourced to a compelled statement with respect to any matter pending review for, or subject to, potential or actual criminal charges.